

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspro.gov

		·		CONFIRMATION NO.
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTIGUATION
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	21 7 F	53168-500301D6	1405
10/079,668	02/20/2002	Shrenik Deliwala	55100 2000	
	590 11/26/2002		EXAMINER CONNELLY CUSHWA, MICHELLE R	
Daniel H. Gol 1701 Market St	treet			
Philadelphia, P	A 19103		CONNELLY CUSHWA, MICHELLE R ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER
			2874	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ B
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/079,668	DELIWALA, SHRENIK	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa	2874	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 12-38 is/are pending in the applicati	on		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will Holli Golfoldordia		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>12-38</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers	,		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disar	proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Appli	cation No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			n).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:	rovisional application has been	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>6</u> . mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/079,668

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a first embodiment represented by Figures 51 and 52;
- a second embodiment represented by Figure 53;
- a third embodiment represented by Figure 54;
- a fourth embodiment represented by Figure 55;
- a fifth embodiment represented by Figure 56;
- a sixth embodiment represented by Figure 57; and
- a seventh embodiment represented by Figure 58.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 12 and 28 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/079,668

Art Unit: 2874

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Ms. Connelly-Cushwa would like to thank Mr. Daniel Golub for the courtesy extended during a telephone interview on November 18, 2002 and for promptly faxing the two IDS references that Ms. Connelly-Cushwa was unable to locate. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on February 20, 2002 and April 15, 2002 will be considered in entirety with respect to the species elected.

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa at telephone number (703) 305-5327. Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature (i.e. a request for a missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/079,668

Art Unit: 2874

Page 4

(703) 308-0956 or to the technical support staff supervisor at telephone number (703)

308-3072.

Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa

MRCC November 19, 2002